the calculated with the experimental curves it is necessary to know the value of p for the state of the specimen when each photograph was taken. Since the positions of the diffraction maxima move as pchanges, it is possible to estimate p for any photograph from the positions of any of the maxima. From the curve shown in Fig. 2(a) the value of p corresponding to each photograph was obtained from the measured apparent spacings of the reflexion in the region of 9 kX. Using this p value the calculated



Fig. 3. Experimental microphotometer traces and calculated intensities of the diffraction maxima.

spacings of the other diffraction maxima were read off from Fig. 2(b) and (c), and Table 1 shows that agreement is good between these values and the observed values.

The spacings in Table 1 marked with an asterisk are for p = 0.5 as they cannot be obtained from Fig. 1 or 2 for p = 0.48.

Fig. 3 shows the experimental microphotometer traces after subtraction of background and calculated intensities of the diffraction maxima. The experimental traces for photographs 1, 2 and 3 are compared with the calculated intensity distribution curves for p = 0.2, p = 0.3 and p = 0.5 already shown in Fig. 1. Here again agreement is good.

The material used for the third photograph appears to have a p value of about 0.5, i.e. half the layers can be expanded by glycerol. It is interesting to note that pyridine sorption measurements on samples of lithium montmorillonite heated to 190° C. showed about half the uptake of unheated samples.

#### References

- BROWN, G. & MACEWAN, D. M. C. (1950). J. Soil Sci. 1, 239.
- GREENE-KELLY, R. (1952). Clay Min. Bull. 1, 221.
- HENDRICKS, S. B. & TELLER, E. (1942). J. Chem. Phys. 10, 147.
- JACKSON, W. W. & WEST, J. (1930). Z. Kristallogr. 76, 211.
- JAMES, R. W. & BRINDLEY, G. W. (1931). Phil. Mag. (7), 12, 81.
- MACEWAN, D. M. C. (1949). J. Soil Sci. 1, 90.
- MÉRING, J. (1949). Acta Cryst. 3, 371.
- NAGELSCHMIDT, G. (1941). J. Sci. Instrum. 18, 100.

Acta Cryst. (1954). 7, 103

# A Hexagonal Modification of a Salt of Millon's Base

BY LIES NIJSSEN AND WILLIAM N. LIPSCOMB

School of Chemistry, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis 14, Minnesota, U.S.A.

### (Received 11 May 1953)

A hexagonal modification of  $Hg_2NBr$  has an  $Hg_2N^+$  framework based upon the idealized tridymite  $(SiO_2)$  structure, with  $Br^-$  in the interstices. The unit-cell dimensions are a = 6.65 and c = 11.26 Å. The deviation of the axial ratio c/a = 1.693 from the ideal value of 1.633 is probably related to the slightly greater stability of this hexagonal modification as compared with the cubic form in which the  $Hg_2N^+$  framework is based upon the cristobalite structure. The Hg-N bond distance is 2.06 Å. A correction of  $1.60/_0$  in the lattice constants of the  $Hg_2N_2Br$  structure is given.

An interesting analogy exists between the idealized  $SiO_2$  structures and the  $Hg_2N^+$  framework in Millon's base and its salts. In the cubic modification (Lipscomb, 1951*a*) the  $Hg_2N^+$  framework has the idealized cristobalite structure. In the hexagonal modification described here a similarly idealized tridymite structure

exists for  $Hg_2N^+$  in which Si is replaced by N, thus forming regular tetrahedral bonds and Hg replaces O, forming linear bonds at 180° angles. The bromide ions fit into the interstices of this framework, as described below.

This study is part of a more general program of

studies of the compounds produced in reactions of various Hg(I) or Hg(II) salts with ammonia. A general summary, not including the present results, has recently appeared (Lipscomb, 1953).

### Experimental results and discussion

The sample of Hg<sub>2</sub>NBr was prepared by reaction of Hg<sub>2</sub>Br<sub>2</sub> with dilute aqueous ammonia, washing the precipitate intermittently for several days with ammonia until no further changes were observable in the diffraction pattern, and then evaporation of the free mercury at 110° C. Our analytical results are: Hg, 80.4%; N, 3.0%; Br, 16.4%; in good agreement with the values 81.0%, 2.8% and 16.2% calculated from the formula Hg<sub>2</sub>NBr.

Only a powder specimen was available for the diffraction analysis. The pattern was obtained by means of a General Electric XRD-3 unit with the use of a Geiger counter for recording the diffracted Cu  $K\alpha$ radiation. Integrated intensities and spacings were measured from a tracing of this pattern by the usual method.

As a first attempt towards the analysis of the pattern a radial distribution function was prepared from the summation (Waser & Schomaker, 1945),

$$rD(r) = \sum_{i} \frac{I_i \sin 2\theta_i}{1 + \cos^2 2\theta_i} \exp\left[-B \sin^2 \theta/\lambda^2\right] \sin 2\pi h_i r,$$

where  $h_i = 2 \sin \theta_i / \lambda$ . The summation (Fig. 1) was made for values of B = 0 (broken line) and B = 4 Å<sup>2</sup> (solid line). The resulting large peaks at 3.4, 5.6 and 6.5 Å indicate that the Hg · · · Hg distances are essentially the same as in the cubic form and that the structures must be very closely related. Many unsuccessful attempts were made to index the pattern on some small distortion of the cubic structure, because such



Fig. 1. Radial distribution function for Hg<sub>2</sub>NBr.

reversible distortions had previously been observed by us for the cubic  $Hg_2NCl$  structure (Nijssen & Lipscomb, 1952*a*).

At this stage of our study several single crystals of kleinite were sent to us through the courtesy of Dr George Switzer (National Museum, Smithsonian Institute, Washington, D.C.). Although kleinite does not have the same structure as our sample of  $Hg_2NBr$ , the similarity of the spacings, but not of intensities, led us to test the tridymite-type of structure.

The hexagonal pattern was indexed successfully on a unit cell with dimensions

$$a = 6.65$$
 Å and  $c = 11.26$  Å,

where the accuracy is probably  $\pm 0.02$  Å. The axial ratio c/a = 1.693 is slightly greater than the ideal axial ratio of  $(8/3)^{1/2} = 1.633$  for reasons discussed below. The atomic positions

8 Hg at 
$$0, \frac{1}{2}, 0; 0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2}, 0, 0; \frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0; \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2};$$

4 N at  $\frac{1}{3}$ ,  $\frac{2}{3}$ ,  $\frac{1}{16}$ ;  $\frac{1}{3}$ ,  $\frac{2}{3}$ ,  $\frac{7}{16}$ ;  $\frac{2}{3}$ ,  $\frac{1}{3}$ ,  $\frac{1}{76}$ ;  $\frac{2}{3}$ ,  $\frac{1}{3}$ ,  $\frac{1}{76}$ 

were assumed. Two possible choices seemed most reasonable for the bromine positions, they are:

Structure A 4 Br at 0, 0, 0; 0, 0,  $\frac{1}{2}$ ;  $\frac{1}{3}$ ,  $\frac{2}{3}$ ,  $\frac{3}{4}$ ;  $\frac{2}{3}$ ,  $\frac{1}{3}$ ,  $\frac{1}{4}$ ;

 $\mathbf{and}$ 

Structure B

4 Br at  $0, 0, \frac{1}{4}; 0, 0, \frac{3}{4}; \frac{1}{3}, \frac{3}{4}; \frac{3}{4}; \frac{3}{5}, \frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{4}$ .

Both of these possible structures, which place the Brin the interstices of the Hg<sub>2</sub>N<sup>+</sup> framework, were tested by calculation of the intensities. The contribution of Br- was sufficiently great to allow an unambiguous choice. The intensities of only those reflections for which  $l = 2, 6, 10 \ldots$  are different for these two possible structures. The intensities for Structure A are listed in Table 1, and specific examples of calculated intensities for Structure B which disagree\* with the observations are  $I_{002} = 36$ ,  $I_{102} = 40$  and  $I_{202} = 213$ .

Thus Structure A, shown in Fig. 2, is the correct one. The space group is  $D_{6h}^4-C6/mmc$ .

The average Hg–N bond distance is 2.06 Å, in reasonable agreement with the value of 2.07 Å found in Millon's base (Lipscomb, 1951*a*) and 2.05 Å found in HgNH<sub>2</sub>Cl (Lipscomb, 1951*b*). The Hg···· Br distances are 3.33, 3.41 and 3.84 Å, all of which seem rather large and thus suggest that these bonds are predominantly ionic. The sum of ionic radii for Hg and Br is 3.05 Å (Pauling, 1940, p. 346) whereas the sum of covalent radii is 2.50 Å, if one obtains the Hg covalent radius by subtraction of 0.70 Å from the

<sup>\*</sup> Actually the intensities are fairly sensitive to the Br positions. About ten different Br arrangements were tested, of which Structure A was unquestionably the best.

# Table 1. Crystal Data for Hg<sub>2</sub>NBr

hkl	$I_c$	$(\sin \theta / \lambda)_o$	Io
100	138	0.086	139
002	105	0.089	104
101	33	0.092	38
102	1	-	< 2
110	20	0.120	21
103	6	0.129	6
200	97	0.174	240
112			
004	$\begin{bmatrix} 102\\ \circ \end{bmatrix}$	0.178	133
201	895	0.195	439
104	33	0.198	45
203	3	0.219	5
210	24	0.230	22
114	8)	0.994	10
211	8 ∫	0.794	19
105	4	0.239	5
212	_0 }	0.248	77
204	76 J	0 2 2 0	
300	4	0.260	3
212	2	0.266	6
302	30	0.275	26
106	0	0 210	< 2
205	3	0.282	5
214	21	0.290	21
220	66	0.301	62
116	23	0.306	<b>32</b>
310	9)	0.314	34
222	21		
304	3	0.316	3
206	3 J 77	0.318	69
215	3		< 2
107	ĩ		$\langle 2$
313	2	0.340	2
400	12	0.347	10
<b>224</b>	78 )	0.349	64
401	1 ∫	0010	
216			< 2
008	$\{12, 12, 12, 12, 12, 12, 12, 12, 12, 12, $	0.326	13
402	50		
314	10	0.329	51
108	5	0.366	6
403	1		< 2
306	11	0.373	10
320	5	0.379	4
321	2	0.382	3
315	. 2	0.384	$\sim 2$
217	1		$\geq \frac{4}{2}$
404	17	0.390	14
208	17	0.396	11
410	2	0.398	2
323	1)	0.402	8
226	8 ∫	0 102	0
412	15	0.408	16
109	i j		19
400 324	1 6	0.418	<u>~</u> 4 5
218	6	0.424	6
414	$\tilde{2}$		$< \tilde{2}$
406	27	0.438	18
325	1		< 2
308	1	_	< 2
317	1		< 2
0,0,10			< 2
42U 220	10 }	0.460	16
JJ2	υj		

Table 1 (cont.)				
hkl	Ic	$(\sin \theta / \lambda)_o$	Io	
421	1 )		< 2	
219	1 ∫			
228	31	0.466	19]	
422	46	0.468	20 <sup>.</sup> }	
1,1,10	6	0.469	13	
504	2 J		,	
318	4	0.474	5	
2.0.10	23	0.477	8)	
423	ĩ	0.479	11 }	
416	11		,	
510	2	_	< 2	
334	1		< 2	
511	1		< 2	
505	1		< 2	
327	ī		< 2	
424	19	0.493	11	
1,0,11	i l	0.498	8	
408	10 ∫	0 100	0	

. .



Fig. 2. The unit cell of hexagonal Hg<sub>2</sub>NBr.

Hg–N distance, and assumes a covalent radius of 1.14 Å for Br (Pauling, 1940, p. 164).

The Br  $\cdots$  N distance is 3.52 Å, which is very close to the sum of van der Waals radii of 1.5 Å for N and 1.95 Å for Br (Pauling, 1940, p. 189). It seems very likely that this contact, along the *c* axis, is mainly responsible for the expansion resulting in an axial ratio of c/a = 1.693 instead of 1.633. A comparison of this hexagonal form of Hg<sub>2</sub>NBr with the cubic Hg<sub>2</sub>NCl suggests that the relatively large Br<sup>-</sup> ion renders the cubic Hg<sub>2</sub>NBr less stable by requiring this non-ideal axial ratio, and hence hexagonal Hg<sub>2</sub>NBr forms more readily in ordinary chemical reactions involving either Hg(I) or Hg(II) salts. Thus the only method by which we have prepared cubic Hg<sub>2</sub>NBr is by exchange of the negative ions with an already existing cubic  $Hg_2N^+$  framework. On the other hand, owing to the relatively smaller size of Cl<sup>-</sup>, cubic  $Hg_2NCl$  forms readily in chemical reactions in dilute aqueous ammonia.

The hexagonal form of Hg<sub>2</sub>NBr shows little or no tendency to exchange its negative ions, unlike the behavior of cubic Hg<sub>2</sub>NBr. It seems probable that this difference is again to be associated with the relatively tighter packing of Br<sup>-</sup> as suggested by the abnormal axial ratio. In addition, there is only one possible direction of exchange, along the c axis, in contrast with the several possible directions of exchange in the cubic form. It is, however, possible that the  $Hg \cdots Br$ bonds are somewhat more covalent in the hexagonal form, and that our resulting long observed distances are merely some statistical average over shorter covalent distances. On the other hand the observed intensities seem sufficiently sensitive to the Br positions that we feel that the  $Hg \cdots Br$  bonds are most probably predominantly ionic, as they are in the cubic form of Hg<sub>2</sub>NBr.

Finally, we wish to correct an error in the related paper on the structure of HgNH<sub>2</sub>Br (Nijssen & Lipscomb, 1952b). Owing to the use of an incorrect value of the wave length, all distances including the unitcell values, spacings and bond distances should be increased by 1.58%. The correct cell constants are thus

$$a = 5.52, b = 4.56$$
 and  $c = 6.87$  Å.

The Hg–N bond length, based on the assumption of strictly tetrahedral bond angles about N, is  $2\cdot10$  Å, still in reasonable agreement with the values listed above. Fortunately, these changes are sufficiently small that our conclusions remain unchanged.

### References

- LIPSCOMB, W. N. (1951a). Acta Cryst. 4, 156.
- LIPSCOMB, W. N. (1951b). Acta Cryst. 4, 266.
- LIPSCOMB, W. N. (1953). Analyt. Chem. 25, 737.
- NIJSSEN, L. & LIPSCOMB, W. N. (1952a). J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 74, 2113.
- NIJSSEN, L. & LIPSCOMB, W. N. (1952b). Acta Cryst. 5, 604.
- PAULING, L. (1940). The Nature of the Chemical Bond, 2nd ed. Ithaca: Cornell University Press.
- WASER, J. & SCHOMAKER, V. (1945). J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 67, 2014.

Acta Cryst. (1954). 7, 106

# The Structure of Lanthanum Sulfate Enneahydrate\*

BY ELTON B. HUNT, JR., † R. E. RUNDLE AND A. J. STOSICK ‡

Department of Chemistry, Iowa State College, Ames, Iowa, U.S.A.

(Received 16 January 1953)

Lanthanum sulfate enneahydrate,  $La_2(SO_4)_3.9H_2O_7$  is hexagonal,  $a_0 = 10.98$ ,  $c_0 = 8.13$  Å, Z = 2, space group  $C6_3/m$ . There are two types of lanthanum ions in the structure: two at 0, 0, 0; 0, 0,  $\frac{1}{2}$  with twelve sulfate oxygens about them at 2.72 Å, two at  $\pm \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{4}$  with six water molecules and three sulfate oxygens at 2.6–2.7 Å. It is presumed that the failure of the heavier rare earth sulfates to form an enneahydrate is due to their inability to support a coordination number of twelve for oxygen.

#### Introduction

It is noteworthy that the lighter rare earth chlorides and sulfates form higher hydrates than can be obtained with the similar heavier rare earth salts. Thus the sulfate enneahydrates,  $M_2(SO_4)_3.9H_2O$ , and chlorides,  $MCl_3.7H_2O$ , are known for the rare earths, lanthanum through praseodymium, while the heavier rare earths form the compounds  $M_2(SO_4)_3.8H_2O$  and  $MCl_3.6H_2O$  under similar conditions. Since ion size decreases with increasing number in the rare earth series, it seemed possible that this difference was due to a coordination difference of light and heavy rare earth ions for water and oxygen.

Several hydrates of trivalent rare earth salts have been examined structurally (Ketelaar, 1937; Helmholz, 1939). These and gadolinium formate (Pabst, 1943) have been consistent in showing an oxygen coordination number of nine, in which six oxygens form a trigonal prism, and the other three, equidistant from the rare earth ion, are found out from the prism

<sup>\*</sup> Contribution No. 273 from the Institute for Atomic Research and Department of Chemistry, Iowa State College, Ames, Iowa, U.S.A. Work was performed in the Ames Laboratory of the Atomic Energy Commission. Submitted as part of a thesis by Elton B. Hunt, in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Ph.D. degree, Iowa State College, 1950.

<sup>†</sup> Present address: Research Department, Stanolind Oil and Gas Co., Stanolind Building, Tulsa, Oklahoma, U.S.A.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup> Present address: Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California, U.S.A.